



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/772,394 | 01/30/2001 | Peter Stangel | 79731.010100 | 1200 |
| 22191 7590 02/26/2008 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1750 TYSONS BOULEVARD, 12TH FLOOR MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | | |
| EXAMINER COBANOGU, DILEK B | | | | |
| ART UNIT 3626 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| NOTIFICATION DATE 02/26/2008 | | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kinneyb@gtlaw.com

tcopatdkt@gtlaw.com

goepclj@gtlaw.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/772,394

Applicant(s)

STANGEL, PETER

Examiner

DILEK B. COBANOGLU

Art Unit

3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DILEK B. COBANOGLU.(3) John Wittensellner (Reg. No. 61,662).(2) Robert Morgan (Primary Examiner, A.U. 3626).(4) James Goepel (Reg. No. 50,851).

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9, 15, 18, 19 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Campbell (6,047,259).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiners and Applicant's Representatives discussed claim language that would distinguish over the prior art of record, especially claim 1, client device functions. After submitting a formal response, the Examiner will conduct an updated search of the prior art prior to any further action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dilek B Cobanoglu/

Examiner, Art Unit 3626

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required